

Geometry & Topology Monographs

Volume X: Volume name goes here

Pages 1–XXX

On the Category of Euclidean Configuration Spaces and associated Fibrations

FRIDOLIN ROTH

Abstract We calculate the Lusternik-Schnirelmann-category of the k^{th} ordered configuration spaces $F(\mathbb{R}^n, k)$ of \mathbb{R}^n and give bounds for the category of the corresponding unordered configuration spaces $B(\mathbb{R}^n, k)$ and the sectional category of the fibrations $\pi_k^n: F(\mathbb{R}^n, k) \rightarrow B(\mathbb{R}^n, k)$. We show that $\text{secat}(\pi_k^n)$ can be expressed in terms of subspace category. In many cases, e.g. if n is a power of 2, we determine $\text{cat}(B(\mathbb{R}^n, k))$ and $\text{secat}(\pi_k^n)$ precisely.

AMS Classification 55M30; 55R80, 55S40

Keywords Schwarz genus, sectional category, Lusternik-Schnirelmann-category, category weight, configuration spaces

1 Motivation and Results

The sectional category $\text{secat}(p)$ of a fibration $p: E \rightarrow B$ is defined to be the least integer n such that the base B can be covered with $n + 1$ open sets admitting local sections [9, 9.13]. This notion, as in [22, p.70] sometimes defined without the +1-shift and referred to as the Schwarz genus of p , has proved very useful. For instance, consider the fibration $\pi_k^2: F(\mathbb{R}^2, k) \rightarrow B(\mathbb{R}^2, k)$ from the ordered configuration space $F(\mathbb{R}^2, k) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^k \mid x_i \neq x_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}$ to its unordered quotient $B(\mathbb{R}^2, k) := F(\mathbb{R}^2, k)/\Sigma_k$ given by the obvious action of the symmetric group Σ_k on k letters. The sectional category of the fibration $\pi_k^2: F(\mathbb{R}^2, k) \rightarrow B(\mathbb{R}^2, k)$ has attracted some attention since Smale showed that it provides a lower bound for the complexity of algorithms computing the (pairwise disjoint) roots of a complex polynomial of degree k [21, 23, 24, 10, 2]. Nevertheless $\text{secat}(\pi_k^2)$ has not yet been determined for all k .

We now recollect what is known on $\text{secat}(\pi_k^2)$ so far: Vassiliev showed the inequality $k - D_p(k) \leq \text{secat}(\pi_k^2) \leq k - 1$ where $D_p(k)$ is the sum of the coefficients in the p -adic extension of k [23, 24]. In particular, this gives $\text{secat}(\pi_k^2) = k - 1$

if k is a power of a prime. If k is not a power of a prime, it is very difficult to determine $\text{secat}(\pi_k^2)$ precisely, and it is only recently that some progress has been made. In [10] DeConcini, Procesi and Salvetti developed an obstruction theory to decide whether $\text{secat}(\pi_k^2)$ equals its known upper bound $k - 1$ and showed that for $k = 6$ — the least k for which the question was open — in fact it does not. Based on that theory, Gregory Arone did some more calculations and showed that $\text{secat}(\pi_k^2) < k - 1$ holds for all k which are neither the power of a prime nor twice the power of a prime [2]. If $k = 2p^\ell$, the question whether $\text{secat}(\pi_k^2) = k - 1$ is still open for some ℓ and odd p , as well as the precise determination of $\text{secat}(\pi_k^2)$ in many other cases.

In this paper we begin to study the sectional category $\text{secat}(\pi_k^n)$ of the fibrations $\pi_k^n: F(\mathbb{R}^n, k) \rightarrow B(\mathbb{R}^n, k)$ for varying $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This is closely related to the Lusternik-Schnirelman-category $\text{cat}(B(\mathbb{R}^n, k))$ of the unordered configuration spaces $B(\mathbb{R}^n, k)$. Here we follow [9] and say that the Lusternik-Schnirelman category $\text{cat}(X)$ of a topological space X is the least integer m such that X can be covered with $m + 1$ open sets, which are all contractible within X . One elementary relation between $\text{secat}(\pi_k^n)$ and $\text{cat}(B(\mathbb{R}^n, k))$ is the general fact that the sectional category of a fibration is bounded above by the category of its base. Together with $\text{cat}(B(\mathbb{R}^n, k)) \leq (k - 1) \cdot (n - 1)$ (lemma 4.1) this gives an upper bound for $\text{secat}(\pi_k^n)$. Moreover, in our cases, we get descriptions of $\text{secat}(\pi_k^n)$ in terms of the category of a map and subspace category, definitions whereof are given in section 2:

Theorem 1.1 *Let $n, k, r \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and admit $r = \infty$ as well. Let $\pi_k^n: F(\mathbb{R}^n, k) \rightarrow B(\mathbb{R}^n, k)$ be the obvious fibration. Then*

$$\text{secat}(\pi_k^n) = \text{cat}(B(\mathbb{R}^n, k) \hookrightarrow B(\mathbb{R}^{n+r}, k)) = \text{cat}_{B(\mathbb{R}^{n+r}, k)} B(\mathbb{R}^n, k).$$

The key to this observation is to consider the ordered Euclidean configuration spaces as well. Another reason for considering the spaces $F(\mathbb{R}^n, k)$ is that $\text{cat}(F(\mathbb{R}^n, k))$ gives a lower bound for $\text{cat}(B(\mathbb{R}^n, k))$ by the usual covering argument. In general this bound is quite bad. For $n = 2$ however, it allows to precisely determine $\text{cat}(B(\mathbb{R}^2, k))$ and shows that the subtleties in the calculation for $\text{secat}(\pi_k^2)$ do not arise in the calculation of $\text{cat}(B(\mathbb{R}^2, k))$, which turns out to be $k - 1$ for all k . The following result might also be of interest for its own sake.

Theorem 1.2 *For all $n \geq 1$, i.e. as long as $F(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, k)$ is connected,*

$$\text{cat}(F(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, k)) = k - 1.$$

The space $F(\mathbb{R}, k)$ consists of $k!$ contractible components, hence

$$\text{cat}(F(\mathbb{R}, k)) = k! - 1.$$

It would be nice to have an analogous statement for the category of the unordered Euclidean configuration spaces, able to compete with the previous theorem in simplicity. We hold the following quite plausible:

Conjecture 1.3 For all n and k

$$\text{cat}(B(\mathbb{R}^n, k)) = (k - 1) \cdot (n - 1).$$

Among other indications, our optimism is based on the following calculations. We use the more usual notation $\alpha(k)$ for $D_2(k)$:

Theorem 1.4 Let $\alpha(k) = D_2(k)$ be the number of 1's in the dyadic expansion of k . Then we have

$$(k - \alpha(k)) \cdot (n - 1) \leq \text{secat}(\pi_k^n) \leq \text{cat}(B(\mathbb{R}^n, k)) \leq (k - 1) \cdot (n - 1).$$

Theorem 1.5 In case k is a power of 2 or $k = 3$ or if n is odd and $k = p$ is any prime, we have

$$\text{secat}(\pi_k^n) = \text{cat}(B(\mathbb{R}^n, k)) = (k - 1) \cdot (n - 1).$$

Moreover, for any k we have

$$\text{cat}(B(\mathbb{R}^2, k)) = (k - 1).$$

These results are obtained by exploiting work of Vassiliev, cohomology calculations by Fred Cohen and combining them with standard results from LS-theory and the concept of category weight. The statement for $k = 3$ follows together with theorem 1.1 and geometric insight. The general upper bound in theorem 1.4 can be derived from the following lemma, which we could not find in literature.

Lemma 1.6 Let X be an n -dimensional CW-complex, $X^{(r)}$ its r -skeleton and assume that $X - X^{(k-1)}$ is connected. Then $\text{cat}(X - X^{(k-1)}) \leq n - k$.

We are aware of the incompleteness of theorem 1.4. We are also aware that improvements can be achieved. For instance, we gained some generalizations in [20]. However, we did not obtain a complete generalization of Vassiliev's results, new improvements of the upper bound for $\text{secat}(\pi_k^n)$, an unbounded

sequence (n_i) such that $\text{cat}(B(\mathbb{R}^{n_i}, k)) = (k - 1)(n_i - 1)$ for all k or of course a proof or disproof of conjecture 1.3.

We think it is worth mentioning that the behaviour showing up in Vassiliev's calculations and our theorem 1.4, as well as the lack of complete information seem not to be unusual. For example, take the immersion problem for real projective spaces $\mathbb{R}P^n$ into \mathbb{R}^m which is still open in the general case. Whitney's embedding theorem says that an immersion exists at least for m greater or equal to $2n - 1$. This bound is taken if n is a power of 2. More generally, the number $\alpha(n)$ appears in Ralph Cohen's general immersion theorem which says that every compact, differentiable, n -dimensional manifold immerses in Euclidean space of dimension $2n - \alpha(n)$ [8]. For the case of complex projective spaces it has been conjectured, that the immersion dimension is $4n - 2\alpha(n) + \epsilon$, where ϵ is a non-negative integer bounded roughly by 3, see [15] and references therein. In fact, these immersion problems are closely related to invariants of the category type. For instance, in the real case and $n \neq 1, 3, 7$, Faber, Tabachnikov and Yuzvinsky showed in [11] that the immersion dimension is the sectional category of $P\mathbb{R}P^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^n \times \mathbb{R}P^n$, up to shift also known as the topological complexity of $\mathbb{R}P^n$. Here $P\mathbb{R}P^n$ is the space of all continuous paths $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^n$, and the fibration is evaluation at the end points. This notion was also useful for the immersion problem for 2^e -torsion lens-spaces for $e > 1$ as an approach to the immersion problem for complex projective spaces [15].

As another example consider the category of the real Grassmann manifolds $G_{n,k}$ of k dimensional subspaces in \mathbb{R}^{n+k} . By dimensional reasons $\text{cat}(G_{n,k}) \leq nk$ and Berstein showed in [3], that this bound is taken if and only if $n = 1$ or $k = 1$ or $(n = 2 \text{ and } k = 2^m - 1)$ or $(k = 2 \text{ and } n = 2^m - 1)$. We are not aware of precise determinations in the general case.

This paper developed from the author's diploma thesis [20] which is more detailed in a number of points. However, here we put more emphasis on the sectional category point of view.

Acknowledgements: First of all I would like to thank my advisor Carl-Friedrich Bödigheimer, who suggested to investigate the LS-category of configuration spaces and guided my work which lead to [20]. I am also very grateful to Fred Cohen whom I met first when I started this project and attended his lectures in Louvain-la-Neuve. Besides its mathematical interest, his comments and encouraging remarks were of invaluable mental support. I like to thank the organizers for the wonderful conference and the invitation to Tokyo. I'm also grateful to Daniel Tanré, Sadok Kallel and Yves Félix whom I could talk to in Lille about the subject. Jesús González drew my attention to the close relation

between sectional category and immersion questions. Special thanks are to Birgit Richter for critical remarks, corrections and discussion of the manuscript. Last but not least I'd like to thank the German National Academic Foundation for their support.

2 Lusternik-Schnirelman-Category

The investigation of numerical homotopy invariants called *category* began with an article by Lusternik and Schnirelman [18]. Their aim was to obtain bounds for the number of critical points of a smooth function on a manifold. Since then various slightly differing definitions showed up in the literature. The definition given in the introduction takes into account that we should have $\text{cat}(\ast) = 0$ for a point or contractible space \ast . We followed [9] and also recommend this book as a source for the following results.

We now give a unifying approach to various notions of category including those mentioned above. For that purpose we define the category $\text{cat}(f)$ of a map $f: A \rightarrow B$. Let $\text{cat}(f)$ be the least integer n , such that A can be covered with $n + 1$ open sets and the restriction of f to each of these sets is nullhomotopic. Such a cover of A is called categorical.

We recover the definitions of the introduction via $\text{cat}(X) = \text{cat}(id_X)$ and also $\text{secat}(p)$ equals $\text{cat}(f_p)$ when f_p is a classifying map for a principal fibration p , see [9, 9.18,9.19][16, Ex.22 p.420]. We will only deal with fibrations of this type and can hence use $\text{secat}(p)$ and $\text{cat}(f_p)$ interchangeably in the sequel. Furthermore, for $A \subset B$ the subspace category of $\text{cat}_B(A)$ is defined to be the least n such that there exists a cover of A with $n + 1$ subsets of B , each open and contractible in B . For an open inclusion $i: A \hookrightarrow B$ one obviously has $\text{cat}_B(A) = \text{cat}(i)$.

Alternative definitions for $\text{cat}(X)$ which agree with the standard one under mild hypotheses, including the case where X is a pointed CW-complex, have been given by Whitehead and Ganea. For a space X Ganea constructed a sequence of fibrations $p_n: G_n(X) \rightarrow X$ which have a section if and only if $\text{cat}(X) \leq n$.

We now recollect some properties of the category of a space X :

Proposition 2.1 (1) *If X dominates Y , i.e. if there are maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow X$ such that $f \circ g \simeq id_Y$, then $\text{cat} Y \leq \text{cat} X$. In particular, category is a homotopy invariant.*

- (2) We have $\text{cat}(E) \leq \text{cat}(B)$ for a covering $p: E \rightarrow B$ with E path-connected.
- (3) We have $\text{secat}(p) \leq \text{cat}(B)$ for a fibration $p: E \rightarrow B$.
- (4) We have $\text{cup}_R(X) \leq \text{cat}(X)$ where $\text{cup}_R(X)$ is the R -cuplength for any coefficient ring R , i.e the least n such that all cup-products of at least $n+1$ non-trivial factors in $\tilde{H}^*(X; R)$ vanish.
- (5) If X is an $(n-1)$ -connected CW-complex, then $\text{cat}(X) \leq \frac{\dim(X)}{n}$.

All proofs are elementary and can be found in [9], chapter 1 and 2. Since the statement of lemma 1.6 fits very well in this collection, we now give the

Proof of lemma 1.6: The idea is the same as one can use to show that every CW-subcomplex is a strong deformation-retract of some open neighborhood. First note that subadditivity (i.e. $\text{cat}_X(A \cup B) \leq \text{cat}_X(A) + \text{cat}_X(B) + 1$ for $A, B \subset X$) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cat}(X - X^{(k-1)}) &= \text{cat}_{X - X^{(k-1)}} \left(\prod_{r=k}^n X^{(r)} - X^{(r-1)} \right) \\ &\leq n - k + \sum_{r=k}^n \text{cat}_{X - X^{(k-1)}} \left(X^{(r)} - X^{(r-1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence it suffices to show that $\text{cat}_{X - X^{(k-1)}}(X^{(r)} - X^{(r-1)}) = 0$ for all $r \in \{k, k+1, \dots, n\}$, i.e. that $X^{(r)} - X^{(r-1)}$ is covered by some set which is open and contractible in $X - X^{(k-1)}$. Fix $r \in \{k, k+1, \dots, n\}$ for the sequel. $X^{(r)} - X^{(r-1)}$ is a disjoint union of r -balls, hence contractible in $X - X^{(k-1)}$, since $X - X^{(k-1)}$ is path-connected. We are left to show that $X^{(r)} - X^{(r-1)}$ is a retract of some set V_n , open in $X - X^{(k-1)}$. The requirement that V_n is contractible in the ambient space $X - X^{(k-1)}$ is then automatically satisfied since $X^{(r)} - X^{(r-1)}$ is a disjoint union of r -cells, hence contractible in the ambient space: Each of the cells is contractible to a point and then we use that in our case the ambient space is path-connected.

We will obtain V_n by recursively defining sets V_ℓ for $r \leq \ell \leq n$, such that $V_\ell \supset X^{(r)} - X^{(r-1)}$ is open in $X^{(\ell)} - X^{(k-1)}$ and retracts to $X^{(r)} - X^{(r-1)}$: For V_r we can just take $V_r := X^{(r)} - X^{(r-1)}$. If $V_\ell \supset X^{(r)} - X^{(r-1)}$ as required is already defined, extend it to obtain $V_{\ell+1}$ as follows: For each $(\ell+1)$ -cell e choose a point x_e in its interior, as well as radial homotopies $h_t^e: X^{(\ell)} \cup (e - x_e) \rightarrow X^{(\ell)} \cup (e - x_e)$, i.e homotopies relative $X^{(\ell)}$ with $h_0^e = \text{id}$, $h_1^e: X^{(\ell)} \cup (e - x_e) \rightarrow X^{(\ell)}$ and $h_1^e \circ h_t^e = h_t^e$. The set $(h_1^e)^{-1}(V_\ell)$ then is obtained from V_ℓ by glueing a truncated cone over $V_\ell \cap \partial e$, open in \bar{e} . Define $V_{\ell+1} := \bigcup_e (h_1^e)^{-1}(V_\ell)$, taking

the union over all $(\ell + 1)$ -cells e . The set $V_{\ell+1} \supset X^{(r)} - X^{(r-1)}$ then is open in $X^{(\ell+1)} - X^{(k-1)}$ (weak topology) and retractible to $X^{(r)} - X^{(r-1)}$. This shows $\text{cat}_{X-X^{(k-1)}}(X^{(r)} - X^{(r-1)}) = 0$. \square

Most of our lower bounds for the sectional category are obtained through the concept of category weight wgt_R . For a non-zero class $u \in H^*(X; R)$ define $\text{wgt}_R(u)$ to be the greatest k (or ∞), such that $p_{k-1}^*(u) = 0 \in H^*(G_{k-1}(X); R)$ for the $(k - 1)$ st Ganea fibration $p_{k-1} : G_{k-1}(X) \rightarrow X$. We recollect important properties and consequences from [9, pp.63f, 242ff and 261f]. The last point is a consequence of [9, prop. 9.18 and 8.22(2)]:

Proposition 2.2 *Let $u \in H^k(X; R)$ be non-zero. Then*

- (1) *If $u \in H^k(K(\pi, 1); R)$ is a class in the cohomology of an Eilenberg-MacLane-space of type $(\pi, 1)$, then $\text{wgt}_R(u) = k$.*
- (2) *If $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is such that $f^*(u) \neq 0$, then $\text{wgt}_R(f^*(u)) \geq \text{wgt}_R(u)$. In other words: If a cohomology class does not vanish under pullback, then its category weight cannot decrease.*
- (3) *If $p : E \rightarrow Y$ is a fibration arising as a pullback over $f : Y \rightarrow X$ of a fibration $\hat{E} \rightarrow X$ with contractible total space \hat{E} and $f^*(u) \neq 0$, then $\text{wgt}_R(u) \leq \text{secat}(p)$.*

3 Cellular Models, Geometry and Cohomology of Euclidean Configuration Spaces

In this section we will collect the necessary algebraic and geometric data in order to derive bounds for the category of Euclidean configuration spaces in combination with the results of section 2. The maps and spaces under consideration fit into the following fundamental diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & \Sigma_k & & \Sigma_k & & & \Sigma_k & (1) \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \downarrow & \\
 F(\mathbb{R}^n, k) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & F(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, k) & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & F(\mathbb{R}^\infty, k) \simeq * & & & \\
 \pi_k^n \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_k^{n+1} & & \downarrow \pi_k^\infty & & & \\
 B(\mathbb{R}^n, k) & \xrightarrow{f} & B(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, k) & \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow & B(\mathbb{R}^\infty, k) = K(\Sigma_k, 1) & & &
 \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are the coverings given by the free action of the symmetric group Σ_k and horizontal maps are induced by the inclusion of \mathbb{R}^n into \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . It follows from Fadell's and Neuwirth's fundamental sequence of fibrations [13], that $F(\mathbb{R}^n, k)$ is $n-2$ connected. As a consequence the limit spaces on the right give the universal covering of an Eilenberg-MacLane-space $K(\Sigma_k, 1)$. Note for later use that all the rectangles in diagram (1) are homotopy pullbacks.

The integer cohomology of Euclidean configuration spaces was calculated by Fred Cohen [6, 7]. In the formulation of [5] and for $n \geq 2$, $H^*(F(\mathbb{R}^n, k))$ is given by generators $A_{i,j}$, ($1 \leq j < i \leq k$) all in degree $n-1$, subject to the relations

- (1) $A_{i,j}^2 = 0$
- (2) $A_{i,j}A_{i,\ell} = A_{\ell,j}(A_{i,\ell} - A_{i,j})$ for $j < \ell < i$
- (3) associativity and graded commutativity.

We can draw the following conclusions:

Corollary 3.1 *For all $n \geq 2$, we have $\text{cup}_{\mathbb{Z}}(F(\mathbb{R}^n, k)) = k - 1$.*

Corollary 3.2 *Let $n \geq 3$. Then $F(\mathbb{R}^n, k)$ is homotopy equivalent to a CW-complex Y with cells just in dimension $q \cdot (n-1)$ for $q \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$.*

Proof: Follow the construction in [16, 4.C p.429] and note that in our case the homology is free. A geometric construction (also for $n = 2$) can also be found in [12, sections VI.8, VI.10]. \square

These corollaries will allow to calculate the category of ordered configuration spaces completely and we now turn to the unordered case and sectional category.

We are going to apply the concept of category weight using the fundamental diagram (1). It turns out that we can draw a lot of information from a CW-decomposition of the one-point-compactification $B(\mathbb{R}^n, k)_{\infty}$ of $B(\mathbb{R}^n, k)$ introduced by Vassiliev [23][24, p.28ff]. This decomposition is a generalization of the one introduced by Fuks for $n = 2$ in [14]. Vassiliev describes the various cells of his model as well as their boundaries mod 2 in terms of certain so-called (n, k) -trees. A precise description of what an (n, k) -tree looks like is given in the construction [24, p.28]. We only note that an (n, k) -tree has at least $k + n - 1$ and at most $k \cdot n$ edges. Vassiliev has proven that for any n, k there exists the structure of a CW-complex of the space $B(\mathbb{R}^n, k)_{\infty}$ with cells being sets of points corresponding to various (n, k) -trees and the added point [24, lemma 3.3.1, p.29]. Furthermore, the dimension of such a cell is equal to the number of edges in the corresponding tree [24, lemma 3.3.2, p.29]. Altogether, this implies

Proposition 3.3 (Vassiliev) *There is a CW-decomposition of the one-point-compactification $B(\mathbb{R}^n, k)_\infty$ having the point ∞ as the only cell of dimension 0. All other cells have dimension r with $k + n - 1 \leq r \leq k \cdot n$.*

There is a stabilization of these models as n turns to ∞ , and using Poincaré-Lefschetz-duality, Vassiliev shows

Proposition 3.4 ([24] p.27) *The homomorphism*

$$H^*(B(\mathbb{R}^\infty, k); \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(B(\mathbb{R}^n, k); \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$$

induced by the map from the fundamental diagram (1) is surjective.

The reader who is familiar with Vassiliev’s cell decomposition and its description in terms of trees may also derive the following

Corollary 3.5 *Let $\alpha(k)$ be the number of 1’s in the dyadic decomposition of k . Then*

$$H^q(B(\mathbb{R}^n, k); \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } q > (k - \alpha(k)) \cdot (n - 1) \\ \neq 0 & \text{if } q = (k - \alpha(k)) \cdot (n - 1). \end{cases}$$

In other words

$$\text{cohdim}_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} B(\mathbb{R}^n, k) = (k - \alpha(k)) \cdot (n - 1).$$

Proof: For $k = 2$ this follows from [14, 4.4.2] with an elementary proof on page 144f. For arbitrary $k \geq 2$ this follows from the theorem in [24, page 31] once one is familiar with Vassiliev’s cell decomposition. We do not want to repeat this construction but give some hints for the reader who wants to get acquainted with Vassiliev’s notation and the labeling trees Γ_{K_i} that occur in his theorem: For the case of $H^*(B(\mathbb{R}^n, k))$ (which is $H^*(\mathbb{R}^n(k)) = H^*(\mathbb{R}^n(m))$ in Vassiliev’s notation, hence $k = m$) such a tree has vertices concentrated on $n+1$ horizontal lines and branches from top to bottom. A typical example is given in [24, figure 12, page 31]. The depth of such a tree is the number of the highest horizontal line beneath which no more branchings exist, see [24, figure 11, page 30]. The branching condition (page 30, bottom) implies that the number of vertices on each horizontal line is a power of two and the tree Γ_{K_i} has $2^{|K_i|}$ vertices on the bottom line. The m in $[K_1, \dots, K_l; m]$ stands for $m - 2^{|K_1|} - \dots - 2^{|K_l|}$ copies of the unique tree Γ_0 that is just a vertical chain of edges without any branchings. This means that if we add up the number of vertices on the bottom horizontal lines over all the trees of the collection $[K_1, \dots, K_l; m]$, the sum is m . We are looking for an additive generator of maximal degree, which

means that we are looking for a collection $[K_1, \dots, K_l; m]$ where the sum of the edges of all the trees is minimal. This is because $H^*(B(\mathbb{R}^n, k))$ is obtained via Poincaré duality from the space $B(\mathbb{R}^n, k)_\infty$ whose cells correspond to trees, its dimensions correspond to the number of edges. From the fact that on each bottom line the number of vertices is a power of two and their sum is $k = m$, it follows that a collection $[K_1, \dots, K_l; m]$ consists of at least $\alpha(m) = \alpha(k)$ trees. For $k = 2^{l_1} + \dots + 2^{l_{\alpha(k)}}$ such a collection of trees with the minimal number of edges is given in figure 1.




Figure 1: A collection of trees representing an additive generator in $H^{(n-1)(k-\alpha(k))}(B(\mathbb{R}^n, k))$.

This collection has $k + (n - 1) \cdot \alpha(k)$ edges and hence represents a generator of $H^*(B(\mathbb{R}^n, k))$ in degree $nk - (k + (n - 1) \cdot \alpha(k)) = (n - 1) \cdot (k - \alpha(k))$. More details, pictures and examples are also given in [20, pp.25–36]. In particular, see Satz 2.9 on page 34. \square

There is also a statement for $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients available in literature, which we shall exploit later. It goes back to Fred Cohen [4, 6] and was proved anew and stated explicitly by Ossa (see [19], prop. 3.4 and the following remark for $p = 3$):

Proposition 3.6 *If p is an odd prime, then*

$$H^{(p-1)(n-1)}(\Sigma_p; \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^{(p-1)(n-1)}(B(\mathbb{R}^n, p); \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism.

The reader interested in cohomological dimensions of configuration spaces should have a look at [17].

4 Calculations and Proofs

We begin with the partly special arguments for the computation of $\text{cat}(F(\mathbb{R}^n, k))$ and $\text{cat}(B(\mathbb{R}^n, k))$ in case n or k is less or equal to 2.

$k = 1$: Clearly, $\text{cat}(F(\mathbb{R}^n, 1)) = \text{cat}(B(\mathbb{R}^n, 1)) = \text{cat}(\mathbb{R}^n) = 0$.

$n = 1$: If $n = 1$, then $F(\mathbb{R}, k)$ has $k!$ contractible components and $B(\mathbb{R}, k)$ is contractible, hence $\text{cat}(F(\mathbb{R}, k)) = k! - 1$ and $\text{cat}(B(\mathbb{R}, k)) = 0$.

$k = 2$: Next, since \mathbb{R}^n is a topological group we have $F(\mathbb{R}^n, 2) \cong \mathbb{R}^n \times F(\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}, 1) \simeq \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ [6] and hence $\text{cat}(F(\mathbb{R}^n, 2)) = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. In the unordered case we use the homotopy equivalence $B(\mathbb{R}^n, 2) \simeq \mathbb{R}P^{n-1}$ [6] which implies $\text{cat}(B(\mathbb{R}^n, 2)) = \text{cat}(\mathbb{R}P^{n-1}) = n - 1$, bounded above by the dimension and below by the mod 2 cuplength.

$n = 2$: We have $k - 1 = \text{cup}_{\mathbb{Z}}(F(\mathbb{R}^2, k)) \leq \text{cat}(F(\mathbb{R}^2, k)) \leq \text{cat}(B(\mathbb{R}^2, k)) \leq k - 1$ resulting from corollary 3.1, proposition 2.1 (4) and (2) and the more general lemma 4.1.

Lemma 4.1 For all n and k we have $\text{cat}(B(\mathbb{R}^n, k)) \leq (k - 1) \cdot (n - 1)$.

Proof: We can assume $n \geq 2$. Then the lemma follows from 3.3 and 1.6. \square

Proof of theorem 1.2: We now can assume $n, k \geq 3$. Then $k - 1 = \text{cup}_{\mathbb{Z}}(F(\mathbb{R}^n, k)) \leq \text{cat}(F(\mathbb{R}^n, k)) \leq k - 1$ follows from 3.1, 2.1(4) and (5). Remember that $F(\mathbb{R}^n, k)$ is $(n - 2)$ -connected. \square

Proof of theorem 1.4: It follows from theorem 3.4 together with proposition 2.2, that the degree of each non-zero cohomology class in $H^*(B(\mathbb{R}^n, k); \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ gives a lower bound for $\text{secat}(\pi_k^n)$. Hence by corollary 3.5 and the previous lemma 4.1 we have $(k - \alpha(k)) \cdot (n - 1) = \text{cohdim}_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}(B(\mathbb{R}^n, k)) \leq \text{secat}(\pi_k^n) \leq \text{cat}(B(\mathbb{R}^n, k)) \leq (k - 1) \cdot (n - 1)$. Here we use 2.1(3) and lemma 4.1 again. \square

Proof of theorem 1.1: For notational convenience we just formulate the proof for $r = 1$. The other cases are similar. We first show $\text{secat}(\pi_k^n) = \text{cat}(B(\mathbb{R}^n, k) \hookrightarrow B(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, k))$ and denote the inclusion by f as in the fundamental diagram 1. Consider $\text{secat}(\pi_k^n)$ as the category of a classifying map $B(\mathbb{R}^n, k) \rightarrow B(\mathbb{R}^\infty, k)$. The classifying map of π_k^n factors through f , hence $\text{secat}(\pi_k^n) \leq \text{cat}(f)$.

Now consider the left square in diagram (1). Given a subset $A \subset B(\mathbb{R}^n, k)$ over which π_k^n is trivial, we can factor $f|_A$ as $\pi_k^{n+1} \circ \tilde{f} \circ s$ where s is a local section for π_k^n over A . Now we observe that $F(\mathbb{R}^n, k)$ is contractible in $F(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, k)$:

Remember that a point in $F(\mathbb{R}^n, k)$ is a k -tuple in $\mathbb{R}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. First move the k points of such a tuple linearly by varying only their last coordinates such that in the end the i -th point lies in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \{i\}$. Then continue moving the i -th point linearly to $(0, \dots, 0, i)$. The fact that $F(\mathbb{R}^n, k)$ is contractible in $F(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, k)$ implies that the restriction of f to A is nullhomotopic. This shows $\text{cat}(f) \leq \text{secat}(\pi_k^n)$.

The second equality in theorem 1.1 can be proved quite elementary by pulling back and extending categorical covers. Remember that a point in $U_i \subset B(\mathbb{R}^n, k)$ is a k -element subset of $\mathbb{R}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\}$. If U_i is open and contractible, extend it to an open contractible subset of $B(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, k)$ by simply letting vary the elements of its points in their $(n+1)$ -st coordinates within an open interval, say $(-1, 1)$. Alternatively one can apply the next lemma and the fact that the spaces $B(\mathbb{R}^n, k)$ are normal (as CW-complexes) and absolute neighborhood retracts (ANR's) as retracts of some open subset of some \mathbb{R}^N . For a definition of ANR see the appendix of [9] and [25] for a more detailed introduction. \square

Lemma 4.2 *If $i: A \hookrightarrow B$ is a closed inclusion between normal ANR's, then $\text{cat}_B(A) = \text{cat}(i)$.*

Proof: Given a categorical cover for $\text{cat}_B(A)$, inverse images under i give a categorical cover for $\text{cat}(i)$, hence $\text{cat}(i) \leq \text{cat}_B(A)$. Vice versa, given a categorical cover U_0, \dots, U_k for $\text{cat}(i)$, we can pass to an open refinement V_0, \dots, V_k with $V_i \subset \overline{V}_i \subset U_i$ since A is normal [9, thm. A.1]. Hence A can be covered with $k+1$ sets, each closed and contractible in B . In [9] this fact is denoted by $\text{cat}^{cl}_B(A) \leq k$ and under the hypothesis that B is a normal ANR and $A \subset B$ is closed, theorem 1.10 in [9] says that $\text{cat}^{cl}_B(A) = \text{cat}_B(A)$. Hence we have $\text{cat}_B(A) \leq \text{cat}(i)$. \square

Proof of theorem 1.5: The statement for k a power of 2 is a corollary to theorem 1.4. If $k = p$ is an odd prime, we combine proposition 3.6 with the group cohomology $H^*(\Sigma_p; \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \otimes \Lambda_p(\beta)$ where α is a polynomial generator in degree $2(p-1)$ and β is an exterior generator in degree $2(p-1)-1$. This can be derived from [1, VI.1.4, 1.6, III.2.9] or see [19] for the statement. Hence $H^{(p-1)(n-1)}(\Sigma_p; \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ if n is odd. Now we can argue as in the proof of theorem 1.4 and obtain

$$\text{secat}(\pi_p^n) \geq \begin{cases} (p-1) \cdot (n-1) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ (p-1) \cdot (n-2) & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

The inequality for even n is a consequence of the statement for odd n , since $H^*(\Sigma_p; \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(B(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, p); \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ factors via $H^*(B(\mathbb{R}^n, p); \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$. The

improvement for $k = 3$ then follows from the next lemma and the statement $\text{cat}(B(\mathbb{R}^2, k)) = (k - 1)$ was already shown at the beginning of this section. \square

Lemma 4.3 For all n we have

$$\text{secat}(\pi_3^{n+1}) \leq \text{secat}(\pi_3^n) + 2. \quad (2)$$

Proof: We partition $B(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, 3) = \coprod_{k=1}^3 V_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, where $V_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ is the submanifold of all 3-configurations in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} whose image under the perpendicular projection onto $\mathbb{R}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ consists of exactly k points. $V_1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ is obviously contractible. The space $V_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ is not necessarily contractible but it is contractible within $B(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, 3)$. This can be seen by an argument similar to the one that we used in order to show that $F(\mathbb{R}^n, k)$ is contractible within $F(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, k)$. The space $V_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be contracted within $B(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, 3)$ in the following way: Move the three points (making up a point in $V_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$) linearly by varying only their last coordinates to obtain a three-element subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} of the form $\{(x, -1), (\tilde{x}, 0), (\tilde{x}, 1)\}$ (here $x, \tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$), then move this linearly to $\{(0, 0, -1), (0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1)\}$. Furthermore $\text{cat}_{B(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, 3)}(V_3(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})) \leq \text{cat}(B(\mathbb{R}^n, 3))$, since $V_3(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \subset B(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, 3)$ is open and retractible to $B(\mathbb{R}^n, 3)$. Now we should pass to tubular neighborhoods U_1, U_2 of V_1, V_2 in order to have open contractible sets available. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{secat}(\pi_3^{n+1}) &\leq \text{cat}(B(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, 3)) \\ &= \text{cat}\left(\coprod_{k=1}^3 V_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})\right) \\ &= \text{cat}_{B(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, 3)}(U_1 \cup U_2 \cup V_3) \\ &\leq \text{cat}_{B(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, 3)}(V_3) + \text{cat}_{B(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, 3)}(U_2) + \text{cat}_{B(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, 3)}(U_1) + 2 \\ &\leq \text{cat}_{B(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, 3)}(B(\mathbb{R}^n, 3)) + 2 \\ &= \text{secat}(\pi_3^n) + 2. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from Theorem 1.1 with $r = 1$. \square

References

- [1] **A Adem, R J Milgram**, *Cohomology of Finite Groups*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 309, Springer, Berlin 2nd edition (2004)
- [2] **G Arone**, *A note on the homology of Σ_n , the Schwartz genus, and solving polynomial equations*, math.AT/0505388, to appear in Contemporary Mathematics, Proceedings of the Arolla Conference on Algebraic Topology, Arolla (Switzerland) August 2004

- [3] **I Berstein**, *On the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of Grassmannians*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 79 No. 1(1976) 129–134
- [4] **F Cohen**, *Cohomology of braid spaces* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 79 (1973) 763–766
- [5] **F Cohen**, *On configuration spaces, their homology, and Lie algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 100 No. 1-3 (1995) 19–42
- [6] **F Cohen, T J Lada, J P May**, *The homology of iterated loop spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 533, Springer, Berlin-New York (1976)
- [7] **F Cohen, L R Taylor**, *Computations of Gelfand-Fuks cohomology, the cohomology of function spaces, and the cohomology of configuration spaces*, Geometric applications of homotopy theory, Proc. Conf., Evanston, Ill (1977), I, Lecture Notes in Math. 657, Springer, Berlin (1978) 106–143
- [8] **R L Cohen**, *The immersion conjecture for differentiable manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2), 122:2 (1985) 237–328
- [9] **O Cornea, G Lupton, J Oprea, D Tanré**, *Lusternik-Schnirelmann category*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 103, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2003)
- [10] **C De Concini, C Procesi, M Salvetti**, *On the equation of degree 6*, Comment. Math. Helv. 79 no. 3 (2004) 605–617
- [11] **M Farber, S Tabachnikov, S Yuzvinsky**, *Topological robotics: motion planning in projective spaces*, International Mathematics Research Notices 34 (2003) 1853–1870
- [12] **E R Fadell, S Y Husseini**, *Geometry and topology of configuration spaces*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin (2001)
- [13] **E R Fadell, L Neuwirth**, *Configuration spaces*, Math. Scand. 10 (1962) 111–118
- [14] **D B Fuks**, *Cohomology of the braid group mod 2*, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. 4 (1970) no. 2, 62–73, English translation in Funct. Anal. Appl. 4 (1970) 143–151.
- [15] **J González**, *Topological robotics in lens spaces*, Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society 139 No. 3 (2005) 469–485
- [16] **A Hatcher**, *Algebraic topology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2002)
- [17] **S Kallel**, *Braid spaces and braid homology*, unpublished.
- [18] **L Lusternik, L Schnirelmann**, *Méthodes topologiques dans les problèmes variationnels*, Hermann, Paris (1934)
- [19] **E Ossa**, *On the cohomology of configuration spaces*, Algebraic topology: new trends in localization and periodicity, Sant Feliu de Guols (1994) Progr. Math. 136, Birkhäuser, Basel (1996) 353–361
- [20] **F Roth** *Zur Lusternik-Schnirelmann-Kategorie Euklidischer Konfigurationsräume*, Diplomarbeit, Universität Bonn, <http://www.math.uni-hamburg.de/home/roth/>

- [21] **S Smale**, *On the topology of algorithms*, I. J. Complexity 3 No. 2 (1987) 81–89
- [22] **A S Švarc**, *The genus of a fiber space*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) 119 (1958) 219–222, English translation in AMS Transl. (2) 55 (1966) 49–140
- [23] **V A Vassiliev**, *Cohomology of braid groups and the complexity of algorithms*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 22 (1988) no. 3, 15–24, 96, translation in Funct. Anal. Appl. 22 no. 3 (1988) 182–190 (1989)
- [24] **V A Vassiliev**, *Complements of discriminants of smooth maps: topology and applications*, translated from the Russian by B. Goldfarb. Translations of Mathematical Monographs 98, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1992)
- [25] **G Warner**, *Topics in Topology and Homotopy Theory*, available from the Hopf archive <http://hopf.math.purdue.edu/>

*Fachbereich Mathematik der Universität Hamburg, SPAZ, Bundesstrasse 55
20146 Hamburg, Germany*

Email: roth@math.uni-hamburg.de

URL: <http://www.math.uni-hamburg.de/home/roth/>